

In this briefing sheet, detail is given on **core elements** that must be considered when assessing an application for a **School Exchange Partnership** under KA2. The briefing sheet covers all four assessment criteria.

Relevance

Core Elements:

What to look for:

☞ Relevance to the objectives and priorities of the funding action.

☞ Project addresses one or more **objectives and priorities of the funding action**. To be funded, projects must address at least one horizontal or field-specific priority for this action. Projects addressing the horizontal priority for “inclusive education, training and youth” are to be considered highly relevant. In all cases, the potential for a School Exchange Partnership to aid the achievement of a particular priority must be **considered proportionately** against the limited scope and size of this type of partnership.

☞ Extent to which the proposal is based on a genuine and adequate needs analysis.

☞ Proposal explains the **motivation for participation** for individual partner schools as well as the **overall purpose** of the School Exchange Partnerships proves; project objectives are linked with the goals and needs of the participating schools and, where appropriate, to the needs of associated staff, learners and communities.

☞ Extent to which objectives are clearly defined, realistic and address issues relevant to the participating organisations and target groups.

☞ Project **objectives are clear, achievable and proportional** to the scope and experience of the partnership; proposal explains how the needs of the participating schools are to be met and how broader project goals are to be achieved.

☞ Extent to which the proposal is suitable of realising synergies between different fields of education, training and youth

☞ Project is likely to produce outcomes that may also be relevant for **other fields** of education, training and youth (i.e. beyond the field of School Education). **Due to the nature and format of School Exchange Partnerships, projects which do not address this sub-criterion should not be penalised.**

☞ Extent to which the proposal is innovative and/or complementary to other initiatives and projects already carried out by the participating organisations.

☞ Proposed activities are **complementary to the activities of the participating schools** and to other projects that they have implemented; project implementation is likely to provide schools and participants with new experiences and to positively extend existing knowledge and practices.

☞ Extent to which the proposal brings added value at EU level through results that would not be attained by activities carried out in a single country.

☞ Transnational dimension clearly **adds value** in terms of project outcomes; participating schools are able to achieve results, together, that would not be reached by schools from a single country working together.



Key Action 2:
Strategic
Partnerships

SCHOOL
EXCHANGE
PARTNERSHIPS

Assessment Criteria

Briefing Sheet
Page 1

In this briefing sheet, detail is given on **core elements** that must be considered when assessing an application for a **School Exchange Partnership** under KA2. The briefing sheet covers all four assessment criteria.



Quality of Project Design

Core Elements:	What to look for:
☞ Clarity, completeness and quality of the work programme, including appropriate phases for preparation, implementation, monitoring, evaluation and dissemination.	☞ Proposed activities are well described and well planned out; proposal contains a clear and realistic schedule for project activities. Due to the nature and format of School Exchange Partnerships, project implementation can be described in a simpler way in the application.
☞ Consistency between project objectives and proposed activities.	☞ Proposed activities are appropriate to allow set project objectives to be achieved; where transnational learning, teaching and training activities are planned, both content and expected results are relevant to the project objectives.
☞ Quality and feasibility of proposed methodology.	☞ Proposal explains how the targeted activities will lead to the expected results; where transnational learning, teaching and training activities are planned, their methodology is relevant to the project objectives. Due to the nature and format of School Exchange Partnerships, project methodology can be described in a simpler way in the application.
☞ Existence and relevance of quality control measures to ensure that project implementation is of high quality, is completed on time and to budget.	☞ Proposal defines appropriate mechanisms for project management; responsible persons are identified for each of the participating schools and planning is put in place for continued project implementation in case of staff changes; where transnational learning, teaching and training activities are planned, practical arrangements for delivery are appropriate.
☞ Extent to which project is cost-effective and allocates appropriate resources to each activity.	☞ Proposal confirms value-for-money when considering planned results and the grant amount requested; where needed, appropriate budget resources are requested to allow staff and young people with special needs or fewer opportunities to participate on an equal footing.
☞ Extent to which transnational learning, teaching and training activities are appropriate to broader project aims and involve an appropriate number of participants.	☞ <i>Only where transnational learning, teaching and training activities are planned:</i> proposed activities are relevant to the achievement of project objectives and proposed activities are clearly described; participants are described alongside standards for selection, preparation, support and safety (the latter important for activities involving pupils). Where projects last more than 24 months, this extended duration must clearly serve the purpose of improved the quality and number of long-term pupil mobilities. Where long-term pupil mobility or long-term teaching assignments are targeted, this should positively influence the score for this criterion.
☞ Quality of arrangements for recognition and validation, in line with European transparency and recognition tools and principles.	☞ <i>Only where transnational learning, teaching and training activities are planned:</i> the benefits for participants should be clearly stated in terms of learning outcomes and personal development goals; for long-term activities, mechanisms for the recognition of learning outcomes, by the sending school, should be clearly defined.

Key Action 2:
Strategic
Partnerships

SCHOOL
EXCHANGE
PARTNERSHIPS

Assessment Criteria

Briefing Sheet
Page 2

In this briefing sheet, detail is given on **core elements** that must be considered when assessing an application for a **School Exchange Partnership** under KA2. The briefing sheet covers all four assessment criteria.



Quality of Project Team (and Cooperation Arrangements)

Core Elements:	What to look for:
☞ Extent to which project involves appropriate mix of complementary organisations with the necessary profile, experience and expertise to successfully deliver all aspects of the project.	☞ Proposal clearly explains the reasons for participation of the involved schools and their common interests; role and contribution of each organisation is clearly described; proposal demonstrates the capacity of the partnership to ensure effective implementation of the project and follow-up of its results; where relevant, proposal demonstrates the capacity of the partnership to support participants with special needs or fewer opportunities.
☞ Extent to which the distribution of tasks and responsibilities demonstrates the commitment and active contribution of all participating organisations.	☞ Clear definition and appropriate distribution of roles and tasks and balanced participation of participating schools in the implementation of the work programme, taking into account the nature of the activities and the experience of the partners involved.
☞ Extent to which the project involves newcomers to the action.	☞ Extent to which the proposal involves schools who are newcomers to the Strategic Partnerships action and for whom participation is expected to have a positive impact; in cases where a project involves a combination of newcomer and more experienced schools, there is a planning place to exchange experiences and provide support to newcomers; for projects involving a larger number of schools, scoring should take into account the proportionality between the number of newcomers and the size of the partnership. In line with the goal of School Exchange Partnerships to strengthen the European dimension in participating schools and to develop their capacity for cross-border cooperation, this carries a higher-than-average influence on the assessment score for this criterion.
☞ Confirms effective mechanisms for coordination and communication between participating organisations, and with other relevant stakeholders.	☞ Mechanisms for coordination and communication between partners are clearly described and appropriate; proposal explains if and how eTwinning and/or School Education Gateway will be used to support project implementation.

Key Action 2:
Strategic
Partnerships

SCHOOL
EXCHANGE
PARTNERSHIPS

Assessment Criteria

Briefing Sheet
Page 3

In this briefing sheet, detail is given on **core elements** that must be considered when assessing an application for a **School Exchange Partnership** under KA2. The briefing sheet covers all four assessment criteria.



Impact and Dissemination

Core Elements:	What to look for:
☞ Quality of measures for evaluating project outcomes.	☞ Appropriate evaluation mechanisms are proposed (proportional to the scope of the project) which make it possible to assess whether the project objectives have been achieved.
☞ Potential for impact on participants, and participating organisations, both during and after the lifetime of the project.	☞ Project is likely to have substantial positive impact on the participating organisations, and on their staff and learners, taking into account the original goals and motivation of the project as well as the proposed activities.
☞ Potential impact of the project, beyond those organisations and individuals participating directly, at local, regional, national and/or European levels.	☞ Where relevant, and in line with the size and scope of the project, there are clear benefits for groups and organisations not directly participating in the project.
☞ Quality of dissemination plan: appropriateness and quality of measures aimed at sharing the outcomes of the project within and beyond participating organisations.	☞ Proposal Identifies project results that are able to be transferred as well as relevant target groups; proposal describes an appropriate set of measures to make project results known within the partnership, within schools' local communities and among the wider public; proposal explains if and how eTwinning and/or School Education Gateway will be used (in addition to the Erasmus+ Project Results Platform) to support dissemination project implementation.
☞ Quality of plans for ensuring sustainability of the project: capacity to continue to have an impact and to produce results once EU funding has ended.	☞ Project is expected to contribute to development and internationalisation of the involved schools in the longer-term; proposal sets out realistic and effective plans for continued use of the project results or for the continued implementation of activities beyond the funding period; proposal explains if and how eTwinning and/or School Education Gateway will be used to support follow-up of the project.

Key Action 2:
Strategic
Partnerships

SCHOOL
EXCHANGE
PARTNERSHIPS

Assessment Criteria

Briefing Sheet
Page 4